

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,703	04/19/2001	Brian R. Dershem	P7926/00-868	7105
7590 03/26/2004 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAMINER	
			DRAPER, DEANN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, L	,0 2003, 3213		3616	

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/905,703	DERSHEM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deanna L. Draper	3616	Mu
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatie - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a regon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered tim THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	19 April 2001.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	· ·	• •	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application Papers 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with significant signific	thdrawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 April 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the country of the coun	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square object to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this Nationa	al Stage
Attachment(s) 1)	. 4\ \ Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.6. 	(8) Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (P	ГО-152)

Art Unit: 3616

Acknowledgements

The Information Disclosure Statements filed by the Applicant on March 19, 2002 and October 9, 2002 are acknowledged.

Claim Objections

Claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 10 and 11 appear to depend from Claim 9 rather than Claim 8, and Claims 13 and 14 appear to depend from Claim 12 rather than Claim 11. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6 – 8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bauer et al. (US 4,150,474). Bauer discloses a method of manufacturing for a skid steer loader, including an undercarriage (70 in Fig. 3) including at least one crossmember (74, 75 in Fig. 3), a main frame assembly (24 in Fig. 2, 3), having a base/lower portion (50 in Fig. 3) with a front (52 in Fig. 8) and rear (55 in Fig. 8) section, and at least one recessed channel (see attachment)

Art Unit: 3616

positioned between the front and rear sections on the base portion of the frame, extending across the width of the base portion and operably associated with a respective crossmember of the undercarriage for mounting thereto, with a vertical load being evenly distributed over the entire crossmember as it engages with the recess. Bauer also discloses a pair of space tower assemblies (58 in Fig. 3), a pair of side members (51) having front and rear portions with each side member being connected to a respective tower assembly (see Fig. 3), and a crossmember extending between the pair of tower assemblies (see 61), a lower frame assembly (50 in Fig. 3) having a pair of spaced vertically oriented side rails, and the upper frame is mounted to the lower frame assembly between the side members of the upper frame and the side rails of the lower frame (see 50 and 51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 – 5, 9 – 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al. (US 4,150,474) as applied to Claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Zimmermann (US 5,293,949). Bauer discloses the invention as claimed, including an upper frame assembly having an operator cab (16 in Fig. 2) and a hydraulic lift arm (33 in Fig. 2), and a recessed channel that defines a strengthened region operable to provide torsional stiffness to the skid steer loader, however the undercarriage is not a tracked undercarriage with left and right

Art Unit: 3616

endless track type vehicle including an undercarriage with right and left track assemblies (13 in Fig. 3) connected by at least one cross member (11 in Fig. 3) in order to provide ease in traveling rocky terrain. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Bauer by including an undercarriage with right and left track assemblies connected by at least one cross member in order to provide ease in traveling rocky terrain as taught by Zimmerman. Further, the examiner notes that it is well known in the art to substitute tracks for wheels.

Regarding the material being a medium strength steel, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use medium strength steel, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Albright et al. (US 4,962,825) discloses a skid steer loader and (US 4,955,455) a method for assembling a skid steer loader. Anderson et al. (US 6,293,364) discloses a lower frame assembly. Jespersen (US 3,776,325) discloses an all terrain vehicle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deanna L. Draper whose telephone number is 703-306-5939. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 6:00.

Art Unit: 3616

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 703-308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEANNAY SAFER PATENT EXAMINER

dld"

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Fig. 3

